Approved For Release 2002/08/20 : 00450R000100090016-6

14 0e**toba**r 1999

OGC Has Reviewed

MENURALISM FOR: Assistant Associate Officer, ID/P

SUBJECT: Destruction of Claudestine Services Correspondence

- 1. We have received your nemomendum of 7 August 1959 on the above subject in which you ask our opinion on the legal definition of the term "records" as used in laws governing the maintenance and disposal of Covernment documents and request our guidance in the matter of practical edministration in this field, in compliance with applicable laws, with respect to operational field correspondency.
- 2. We would like to say at the outset that we are in gomern! accord with the interpretation of basic legal principles which is expressed in your submission. This problem is largely a practical one and to a great extent reduces itself to the bandling of operational correspondence in a manner compatible with broad statutory objectives.
- 3. The basic legal situation in the area of Federal records management is well set forth in a resent Cabinet Paper (CF 59-53/4, dated 27 July 1959) on the removal of papers of a "personal-official" mature by retiring executives, a problem only indirectly related to the one at hand. We think it appropriate to provide executive from the paper at this point:

"Government owned documentary material which does not full within the definition of records may be disposed of under R.S. 161 (5. V.S.C. 22) which provides in part:

"The head of each department is authorized to prescribe regulations, not inconsistent with law, for...the custody, use, and preservation of the records, papers and property apperbaining to it."

"This statute, apparently exacted under the authority of the Congress to make rules and regulations respecting the 'Property of the United States' (Constitution, Art. I.Sec.3, Cl.2), seems to confer educate authority upon department heads to dispose of records and papers appartaining to a department so long as such disposition is 'not inconsistent with law,' e.g., the Records Disposal Act, Emergive Order 10501 or limitations relating to

confidential information. Accordingly, if saterial does not fall within the definition of 'records' contained in the Records Mayonal Act, as, for example, exten carbons or photostals of mesonands, and is not classified or confidential resort my be sufficient under R.S. 161. Although the statute covers only the nine executive departments emperated in 5 U.S.C. 1, the courts have tended to reed parellel powers into the statutes governing the independent agencies.

"The difficultion of 'records' contained in the Records Disposal Act: (44 U.S.C. 366) includes:

> '... all books, papers, maps, photographs, or other documentary materials, reportless of physical form or characteristics, made or received by any agency of the United States Government in pursuance of Federal law or in connection with the transportion of public business and preserved or appropriate for preservation by that somey or its legitimate successor as evidence of the organization, functions, plotoies, decisions, procedures, operations, or other activities of the Covernment or because of the informational value of data condained therein. Library and mesers reported unde or sometred and preserved sololy for reference or embilition purposes, extra capies of documents preserved only for conventence of reference and stacks of publications and of processed documents are not included within the definition of the word "records" as used in sections 366-375 and 378-330 of this title."

the desirable to determine the act indicates that we have the property of the act indicates that was in fact intended. The definition requires that two conditions must be set. First, it must be 'make or required by any agency or its legitisate successor as evidence of the organization. Associous, policies, facisions, procedures, iperations, or other activities of the government or because of the informational value of data contained therein.' Obviously cases may exist in which it is' difficult to determine whether any particular document should be treated as a 'record' in the light of these regularments....

"Culdones as to the manner in which discretion is intended to be exercised is supplied by the emphasis placed in the Federal Records Act (44 U.S.C. 392, ot see.) upon the making and preservation of records for the purpose of documenting policies, decisions and

essential transactions of agencies and in order to protect the legal and financial rights of both the Geverment and persons affected by agency activities. Material necessary for such purposes is to be treated as 'recorde'sand not be removed.

. . . The discretion conferred upon department and agency heads with respect to the classification of materials as records must place primary emphasis upon the importance of maintaining in the hands of the Government documentation of Government activity. However, if this purpose is effectuated, lesmay remains for the treatment of papers as. ... non-record and, therefore, subject to being removed under R.S. \$161 if otherwise proper.

Aleu

4. Turning to the practical problem of Clandentine Services

operational field correspondence: we find, after considerable search, / no other type of communication in the Government which is analogous to this and, therefore, no previous statement of working principles which we can consider applicable, but taking the precepts expressed in the Cabinet Paper, together with those in various statements by the General Services Administration, and applying them to the facts of operational field correspondence, we come to the following view, which we trust will be adequate guidance for those who must administer records in the field of clandestine operations.

5. First of all, we consider "record," as used in the statutes, to Tours apply only to documents containing data not recorded elsewhere, and therefore, there is not to be included in this definition more than one copy (or original) of a document or any document considered vital because it contains certain data, which data is incorporated or suggested eleawhere ... Stated another way, a document which is considered "record": because it contains a given piece of data ceases to be "record" whenever that piece of data is incorporated into some other document.

6. Secondly, we think that operational field correspondence, as distinguished from administrative correspondence (and, for other reasons, cables), is by and large to be considered non-record. For example, a project in final form as it goes forward for approval, would certainly be considered record. Yet it would appear that field correspondence transmitting date in support of, or bearing on, the formulation of the project, would not be "record" naterial since the vital information contained therein is set forth and consequently preserved in the basic project document. Because of the nature of this approval process, and that by which projects are carried out



and reported upon, the great asjority of operational field correspondence consists either of data which is incorporated into summary reports or else the detailed recountings of day-to-day activities which, were though and it not for the distance involved, would in many instances not even be reduced to writing. At the very least, it would appear that the great bulk of this sorking level correspondence does not embody information which need be preserved for the purpose of documenting of the policies, decisions, and essential transactions.

- 7. Examples of types of operational field correspondence which so consider exceptions to the generalisation stated in paragraph 6 (but not that in paragraph 5) above are those items which contains
 - (a) Information relating to controlled individuals (renging from informants to staff employees) with respect to status, health, job performance, function, etc.;
 - (b) Information which may be useful as evidence of eral or written contracts, commitments, or other obligations, whether with respect to individuals or organizations;
 - (c) Information on occurrences in connection with which there may be a future claim by or against the Government;
 - (d) Information on the dissolution of projects, especially as may bear on holdover ties with individuals or organizations or the management of vestigial project affairs;
 - (a) Information on occurrences either of general historical significance or of lasting interest with regard to the development of the tradecraft or love of the Clandestine Services.
- Persons accustomed to dealing with operational data will recognize others. In this particular field, what is "record" will in the long run, for practical reasons, pretty much have to be shat these persons consider vital and so identify. But a piece of data which is considered vital need only be preserved in one accessible document in order to comply with the law. The extent to which documents which are "non-record" under the above principles should be retained is not a legal consideration and therefore not within the province of this Office or, as we understand it, within the scape of your inquiry. We would, of course, be happy to give our views on specific managerial problems, and in any event, to be of any further help on the legal aspects you may desire.

Office of General Counsel

25X1A

co: Chief, Record Management Staff

25X1

OFFICE